## District of Columbia Court of Appeals



**DDN**: 2020-D253

No. 24-BG-0510

In re RUDOLPH W. GIULIANI,
Respondent.
A Disbarred Member of the Bar of the
District of Columbia Court of Appeals
Bar Registration No. 237255

BEFORE: McLeese, Deahl, and Howard, Associate Judges.

## ORDER

On consideration of the court's January 3, 2025, order directing the parties to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed as moot due to respondent's disbarment in *In re Giuliani*, 323 A.3d 424 (D.C. 2024) (per curiam); Disciplinary Counsel's response in which he agrees that the matter is moot and requests that dismissal be without prejudice to Disciplinary Counsel's ability to present evidence related to the unadjudicated allegations in this matter should respondent seek reinstatement; and the Board on Professional Responsibility's statement agreeing with Disciplinary Counsel; and it appearing that respondent has not filed a response, it is

ORDERED that this case is hereby dismissed as moot. This dismissal is without prejudice to Disciplinary Counsel's ability to present evidence related to the allegations in this matter if respondent seeks reinstatement.

## PER CURIAM

Copies e-served to:

John M. Leventhal, Esquire

Barry M. Kamins, Esquire

## No. 24-BG-0510

Copies e-served to:

Hamilton P. Fox, III, Esquire Office of Disciplinary Counsel

Jason Horrell, Esquire Assistant Disciplinary Counsel

James T. Phalen, Esquire Executive Attorney, Board on Professional Responsibility

Bernadette Sargeant, Esquire Chair, Board on Professional Responsibility